

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/735,070 | YAP ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Omar Rojas | 2874 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Omar Rojas. (3) _____.

(2) Robert Popa. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

30

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Popa agreed with Examiner Rojas that a drafting mistake was present in claim 30. Examiner Rojas proposed a solution to this mistake and the agreed to changes have been incorporated into an attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)